arXiv:gr-qc/9608018v1 8 Aug 1996

Cosmological Perturbations with Multiple Scalar Fields

Jai-chan Hwang
Department of Astronomy and Atmospheric Sciences, Kyungpook National University, Taegu, Korea

(February 7, 2008)

In this *brief note* we present a set of equations describing the evolution of perturbed scalar fields in a cosmological spacetime with multiple scalar fields. We take into account of the simultaneously excited full metric perturbations in the context of the uniform-curvature gauge which is known to be the best choice. The equations presented in a compact form will be useful for handling the structure formation processes under the multiple episodes of inflation.

Doule inflation recently attracted much attention in the literature because it allows more freedom in designing the spectrums of the large scale structures in the generation stage. There have been many attempts to calculate the generated large scale spectrums with multiple episodes of inflation [1,2]. In this note we will address an important point needed when we properly handle the quantum generation and classical evolution processes of the structures in relativistic gravity, namely, the gauge issue.

Past development in the field shows that the proper gauge choice is essential for properly handling the cosmological perturbations in relativistic gravity. Under the proper gauge condition the analysis including the metric perturbations allows more general and consistent result compared with the one ignoring them; examples are the case of the minimally coupled scalar field [3] and the generalized gravity theories [4]. From a thorough study made in various gauge conditions we found that the uniform-curvature gauge choice fits the problems involving the scalar field [5]. However, *all* previous works on estimating the perturbation spectrum generated from multiple inflation stages are based on either other gauge [1] or ignoring the perturbed metric contributions [2]. As a matter of fact, similar situation prevails even in the case of the single scalar field. Since the proper gauge choice is known in the literature, it is not economic to continue work in other gauge conditions. Furthermore, under the proper gauge condition, the equation including the perturbed metric looks no more complicated than ignoring it. For the benefit of future studies, in this note we would like to present the perturbed scalar field equations valid for the multiple minimally coupled scalar fields in the uniform-curvature gauge. The result is in Eq. (7).

We consider Einstein gravity with multiple minimally coupled scalar fields with a general potential

$$L = \frac{1}{16\pi G} R - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \phi_{(k)}{}^{;a} \phi_{(k),a} - V(\phi_{(j)}), \qquad (1)$$

where $\phi_{(i)}$'s are the scalar fields and V is a general function of $\phi_{(i)}$'s; $i, j, k = 1 \ldots n$, with n arbitrary positive integer.

As a cosmological model describing the perturbed universe, we consider a homogeneous and isotropic (flat) background with the general scalar type perturbations [6]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & - (1 + 2\alpha)\, dt^2 - a \chi_{,\alpha} dt dx^\alpha \\ & + a^2 \delta_{\alpha\beta} (1 + 2\varphi)\, dx^\alpha dx^\beta, \end{aligned} \qquad (2)$$

where $\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t)$, $\chi(\mathbf{x}, t)$, and $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are the scalar type metric perturbations. We consider perturbations in the scalar fields as

$$\phi_{(i)}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \bar{\phi}_{(i)}(t) + \delta\phi_{(i)}(\mathbf{x}, t), \qquad (3)$$

where a background quantity is indicated by an overbar which will be neglected unless necessary. The equations describing the background universe are presented in Eqs. (21,65) and below Eq.(88) of [7]:

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \dot{\phi}^2_{(k)} + V \right), \qquad (4)$$

$$\ddot{\phi}_{(i)} + 3H\dot{\phi}_{(i)} + V_{,\phi_{(i)}} = 0, \qquad (5)$$

where $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$.

The equations describing the perturbed part of the scalar fields and the metric are presented in Eqs.(88,89) of [7] without choosing the temporal gauge condition, i.e., in a gauge ready form [8]. We introduce gauge invariant combinations

$$\delta\phi_{(i)\varphi} \equiv \delta\phi_{(i)} - \frac{\dot{\phi}_{(i)}}{H} \varphi, \qquad (6)$$

which is $\delta\phi_{(i)}$ in the uniform-curvature gauge which takes $\varphi = 0$ as the gauge condition. Under this gauge condition, the perturbed part equations involving the metric and scalar fields can be organized in the following compact forms

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta\ddot{\phi}_{(i)\varphi} + 3H\delta\dot{\phi}_{(i)\varphi} - \frac{1}{a^2}\nabla^2 \delta\phi_{(i)\varphi} \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[V_{,\phi_{(i)}\phi_{(k)}} - \frac{8\pi G}{a^3} \left(\frac{a^3}{H} \dot{\phi}_{(i)} \dot{\phi}_{(k)} \right)^{\cdot} \right] \delta\phi_{(k)\varphi} = 0. \end{aligned} \qquad (7)$$

This set of equations is the main result of this note. These are coupled second order differential equations for $\delta\phi_{(i)\varphi}$.

The coefficients follow the background equations in Eqs. (4,5). Thus, if we have a multiple inflation scenario satisfying Eqs. (4,5) the evolution of the perturbations can be followed by using Eq. (7). The second term in the bracket is originated from considering the perturbed metric contribution, see Eq. (88) of [7]. We note two points: First, the perturbed metric contribution does not look complicated, and second, we believe that properly taking into account of the term will make further analyses self-consistent and rewarding. In order to illustrate the second point we summarize the result for a single component.

In the case of a single component Eq. (7) becomes

$$\delta\ddot{\phi}_\varphi + 3H\delta\dot{\phi}_\varphi + \Bigg[-\frac{1}{a^2}\nabla^2 + V_{,\phi\phi} + 2\frac{\dot{H}}{H}\left(3H - \frac{\dot{H}}{H} + 2\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\dot{\phi}}\right)\Bigg]\delta\phi_\varphi = 0. \qquad (8)$$

This equation was derived in [3] and has unexpected interesting consequences: First, it has general asymptotic solutions. For example, in the large scale limit we have

$$\delta\phi_\varphi(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\left[-C(\mathbf{x}) + D(\mathbf{x})\int^t \frac{H^2}{a^3\dot{\phi}^2}dt\right], \qquad (9)$$

which is valid for the general $V(\phi)$. We note that such a general solution is *not* available if we ignore the metric contributions. Second, using Eq. (8) the quantum fluctuations of $\delta\hat{\phi}$ can be derived in *exact* analytic forms when the background scale factor follows the exponential or power-law type inflationary behaviors; see [3].

The recently popular extended inflation scenario necessarily involves an additional inflation field. There were many attempts to calculate generated density spectrum in the scenario [9]. However, none of the work recognized the role of the uniform-curvature gauge, and furthermore, all works in [9] are based on the conformal transformation technique the validity of which is dubious especially for handling the quantum generation processes. A thorough study in various gauge conditions in [10] shows that the uniform curvature gauge again suits for handling the generalized gravity theories. Perturbed set of equations in the case of generalized gravity with multiple minimally coupled scalar fields is presented in Sec. 4.2 of [7] in the gauge ready form; the equations are presented in the original frame of generalized gravity.

Many of the previous works in [1,2,9] may deserve another look with a new perspective of the proper gauge.

---

[1] A. A. Starobinsky, JETP Lett. **42**, 152 (1985); L. A. Kofman and D. Yu. Pogosyan, Phys. Lett. B **214**, 508 (1988); D. S. Salopek, J. R. Bond and J. M. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 1753 (1989); S. Mollerach, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 313 (1990); D. Polarski and A. A. Starobinsky, Nucl. Phys. B **385**, 623 (1992); D. Polarski, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6319 (1994); P. Peter, D. Polarski and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 4827 (1994); D. Polarski and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 6123 (1994); D. Polarski and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **356**, 196 (1995); A. A. Starobinsky and J. Yokoyama, gr-qc/9502002; J. García-Bellido and D. Wands, gr-qc/9506050; J. Yokoyama, gr-qc/9509006;

[2] L. A. Kofman, A. D. Linde and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **157**, 361 (1985); A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B **158**, 375 (1985); L. A. Kofman and A. D. Linde, Nucl. Phys. B **282**, 555 (1987); J. García-Bellido, A. Linde and D. Wands, astro-ph/9605094; J. García-Bellido and D. Wands, astro-ph/9606047;

[3] J. Hwang, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 3544 (1993); Class. Quant. Grav. **11**, 2305 (1994),

[4] J. Hwang, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 762 (1996); gr-qc/9607059.

[5] J. Hwang, Astrophys. J. **427**, 542 (1994).

[6] In the homogeneous and isotropic background the scalar, vector, and tensor type perturbations evolve independently of each other. The perturbations of scalar fields directly couple only with the scalar type perturbations. In Eq. (2) we have taken a spatial gauge condition so that all the perturbed quantities are spatially gauge invariant.

[7] J. Hwang, Astrophys. J. **375**, 443 (1991).

[8] As a temporal gauge condition we can choose one among $\alpha = 0$, $\chi = 0$, and $\varphi = 0$ which correspond to the synchronous gauge, the zero-shear gauge, and the uniform-curvature gauge, respectively. There exist more fundamental gauge conditions available, see Sec 3.3 of [7]. We have an opinion on the gauge choice in a pragmatic sense: depending on the problem, certain gauge condition is superior in the sense that the calculation is simpler (or the analyses can be done similarly to the case of Newtonian or ordinary quantum field theory) than in other gauge conditions. Still, unless one makes mistakes, the final physically measurable results should be the same independent of which gauge one has chosen. In practice, we found certain calculation can be done only in certain gauge and not in others which is the case of quantum field analyses of $\delta\phi$, see below Eq. (8). Gauge ready method suggests that in case we do not know which gauge condition is the best a priori, investigate all the gauge conditions. We have gone through this process [5] and ended up in the uniform-curvature gauge for the scalar field.

[9] A. H. Guth and B. Jain, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 426 (1992); S. Nakamura and A. Hosoya, Prog. Theor. Phys. **87**, 401 (1992); S. Mollerach and S. Matarrese, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 1961 (1992); N. Deruelle, C. Gundlach and D. Langlois, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 5337 (1992); A. M. Laycock and A. R. Liddle, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 1827 (1994); M. Kuwahara, H. Suzuki and E. Takasugi, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 661 (1994); A. M. Green and A. R. Liddle, astro-ph/9604001, astro-ph/9607166.

[10] J. Hwang and H. Noh, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 1460 (1996).